The Effect of Partial Substitution of Sulfur on the Structural Properties of Amorphous Selenium

Ali Abou Shama

Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, 11566 Abbassia, Cairo, Egypt.

Amorphous samples of Se, SSe_{40} , SSe_{30} and SSe_{10} were prepared as bulk glasses by air quenching. The structural properties of these samples were studied applying X-ray diffraction using Cu- k_{α} radiation. The RDF analysis of the short range order (SRO), revealed no significant change in the bond length of the Se-Se pairs upon S substitution. The second nearest neighbor suffered a systematic increase in the bond length, where it increases from 3.697Å for pure Se to 3.896, 3.865 and 3.853Å for SSe₄₀, SSe₃₀ and SSe₁₀, respectively. A similar change in the third nearest neighbor was also found for pure Se, SSe₄₀ and SSe₃₀, respectively, but for SSe₁₀ the bond length increased in comparison with the other compositions. The variations in the coordination number were found to be composition dependent for the prepared samples. The structure was interpreted in terms of the extracted structural parameters and bond angles.

1. Introduction:

Much work has been done on the thermal, electrical, and optical properties of selenium chalcogenide glasses [1-3]. The selenium sulfur compounds are particularly interesting as they may be easily synthesized in either crystalline or glassy phases. Also these chalcogenide glassy systems are useful for several potential applications such as, threshold switching, memory switching, inorganic photo-resistors, lenses for IR transmission and detection, and optical waveguides, for welding as well as surgery [4-6]. The glass structure of S and Se have been proposed to be equilibrium mixtures of linear polymer molecules and eight membered monomer rings of concentration 40% S and 60% Se [7]. Sulfur and Selenium are structurally modeled in the amorphous phase to be either in the random coil or the bundeled coil model [8]. As S and Se are miscible in all proportions [9], the ring-chain structural provides a basis for consideration of the structure of the binary compound SSe. An analysis of Raman spectra for amorphous SSe [10] indicated the formation of fixed eight member rings, possibly Se₅S₃. Although spectroscopic studies have yielded less information on the chain components, theoretical analysis based on equilibrium

Ali Abou Shama

in the liquid phase suggests that the addition of S reduces the concentration of Se-atoms in the chains as well as the length of the chains [11]. In the present work the structural changes in the amorphous Se caused by the addition of small concentrations of S (0-9%) is the aim of the present study.

1.1. X-ray diffraction experiments and analytical procedure:

The four compounds, Se, SSe₄₀, SSe₃₀ and SSe₁₀ were prepared as bulk glasses by mixing and heating the appropriate constituents of spectroscopically pure elements in an evacuated (1.3 x 10⁻³ Pa) pyrex ampoules at 623 K for two hours and subsequently air quenched [12]. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns were recorded, at room temperature, using a Philips diffractometer (X'pert MPD) with Bragg-Brentano parafocusing geometry and graphite monochromated Cu-k_a radiation. Data were collected over the range 10° $\leq 2\theta \leq$ 100° in 0.02° 2 θ steps with an integration time of 3s, and the collected data were for the as prepared four amorphous samples.

Structure analysis was carried out for the amorphous phases using the RAD program [13].The raw XRD data were corrected for background scattering, counter dead time, polarization and absorption. In the program the missing values between $2\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and $2\theta = 10^{\circ}$ have been found by means of a linear extrapolation. The corrected data were spline smoothed, recalculated in steps of $\Delta K = 1.778 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Å}^{-1}$ from K=0.0 to K_{max} = 6.24 Å⁻¹ (where K = $4\pi \sin\theta / \lambda$; is the scattering vector magnitude). The data were scaled into electron units by the so-called "high angle method". Only the coherently scattered intensity I_a^{coh} was extracted after removing the incoherent (Compton) scattering. The interference functions :

$$I(K) = \left[I_a^{coh} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i f_i^2(K) \right] / \left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i f_i(K) \right]^2,$$

and the reduced RDFs :

$$G(r) = 2 / \pi \int_{K=0}^{K_{\text{max}}} KI(K) \sin(Kr) dK$$

have been computed according to the Pings and Waser method [14] ;where x_i is the concentration of the atomic species i having an atomic scattering factor f_i . Some residual errors in the I(K), which are mainly occurring as a result of the incorrect normalization of the XRD data, introduce large spurious oscillations in the region between the origin and the first real peak in the corresponding G (r). For preventing such errors a correction was performed by means of repeated Fourier transforms in the way proposed by Kaplow, Strong and Averbach [15].

2. Results and Discussion:

Figure 1 shows the X-ray diffraction patterns for the four amorphous samples Se, SSe_{40} , SSe_{30} and SSe_{10} . A detailed examination of the patterns indicates that all the samples are amorphous with two main diffraction humps located at 15-40° and 40-70° 20. This finding means that the basic structural units are similar in all samples although there is a small angular shift among these samples. The diffraction patterns for SSe₄₀, SSe₃₀ show hump shifts toward high diffraction angles and a reduction in intensity compared to that of pure Se. The shifts indicate an increase in medium range order (MRO) and the reduction in intensities is due to the replacement of Se atoms by the S atoms (which have lower atomic scattering factor). In the contrary, the diffraction pattern for SSe₁₀ shows hump shifts toward the lower diffraction angles. Moreover it shows a small shoulder hump at 19-22° 20, which is more or less similar to the shoulder hump for pure Se and this may indicate an increase in the short range order(SRO) [16] for this concentration. This finding can be also confirmed by finding the inter-cluster spacing (d) from the first sharp diffraction peak (FSDP) using the relation [17-19]:



 $K_{FSDP} \times d_{MRO} = 7.725$

Fig. (1): The scattered intensity (l) versus scattering angle (2 θ) for amorphous Se, SSe₄₀, SSe₃₀ and SSe₁₀.

The results of the calculation for the four compositions are given in Table .(1).

 Table (1): The FSDP in the K-space with the inter-cluster spacing d for the investigated amorphous samples:

Sample	K_{FSDP} (Å ⁻¹)	d (Å)
Se	1.901	4.064
SSe40	1.962	3.937
SSe30	1.991	3.879
SSe10	1.897	4.072

It is very clear from the table that the distance between the clusters (of similar motive) is shortest in SSe₃₀ and largest in SSe₁₀. This means that the MRO is highly revealed in SSe₄₀ and SSe₃₀, and by increasing the percentage of S up to $\sim 9\%$ (SSe₁₀), the SRO is starting to increase again. The tabulated values 3.937, and 3.879Å is more or less closer to the bond length of Se-Se found between two adjacent chains of crystalline structure, (3.46 and 3.47Å respectively for SSe₄₀ and SSe₃₀ samples) [12]. This finding means that the structural motive of the amorphous materials under study is similar to that of the crystalline materials. The MRO of SSe₄₀ and SSe₃₀ were taking into account the difference between the perfect long range order in case of the crystalline materials and the MRO in the present case of this study. The MRO was also confirmed by measuring the activation energy of crystallization of the four samples considered; where the crystallization energy decreased from 23.36 Kcal/mole for Se to 16.17 Kcal/mole for SSe₃₀, then increased again up to 19.98 Kcal/mole for SSe_{20} [3]. Fig.(2) shows the interference function against K for the four samples. As can be seen the resolution of the maxima in K-space is good despite the limited K-range in the reciprocal space ($\lambda = 1.5405$ Å). Fig.(3) gives RDF versus R in real space, Table 2 reports the bond length(r), coordination number (N), and the disordering parameter (σ) for the observed and simulated RDF results for the first two coordination shells applying the Gaussian shaped model for the simulated shells. Table 3 also reports the estimated bond angles for the given amorphous four samples.

By careful examination of Fig.(3) together with its simulated values as presented in Tables 2 and 3 and as shown in Fig.(4) (for SSe_{30} sample as an example), one can find the following:

(i) The Se-Se pair of the first coordination shell is observed at 2.358Å for the smallest and 2.369Å for the largest values of S% among the four samples. That is to say; the deviation in the bond length among the four samples is only 1.1 x 10⁻³Å; which means that the Se-Se bond of the first nearest neighbor is not largely affected by the addition of S because it is highly ordered within this limit. From this finding one can conclude that, small

amount of S will substitute Se-atoms and since the atomic size of S-atom is smaller (1.03Å) than Se-atom (1.15Å), then, the bond length decreases between the surrounding Se-atoms, and more arrangement of atoms can take place. While, when the S-atoms increase up to certain limit as in SSe_{10} , some of the S-atoms replace some of Se-atoms, and the rest of S will be embeded in the Se-Se matrix, and some of the Se-Se bonds will have bridged S-atom, some others will have non-bridged S-atom.

- (ii) The coordination number of the first shell is nearly 2.0 with a small decrease in the increased S% atoms.
- (iii) The Se-Se pairs of the second coordination shell are observed at 3.697, 3.896, 3.865, and 3.853Å for the four samples and the coordination number of this shell is nearly 4.0.
- (iv) The angles between the bonds within the chain (φ_1) for the four amorphous samples are between 103.24° and 111.41°, but the angles between the bond of the connected atoms among the chains(φ_2) are between 77.72° and 79.84°. The correlation between the experimental and simulated values of both φ_1 and φ_2 is really good. Fig.(5) shows the correlation of S% for the studied samples against the bond length and bond angles (observed and calculated results). By comparing the above results obtained from the amorphous materials considered with those values obtained from the polycrystalline materials [12], and Fig.(5), one can conclude that: the micro-structural model can explain the structure of the four studied amorphous SSe_x samples in the S% range given.



Fig. (2): The interference function of amorphous Se, SSe₄₀, SSe₃₀, and SSe₁₀.



Fig. (3): RDF against R of amorphous Se, SSe₄₀, SSe₃₀ and SSe₁₀ samples.



Fig. (4): The simulation of the first two coordination shells for amorphous SSe₃₀.



Fig. (5): The correlation of S % with the bond lengths r_1 and r_2 , and with the bond angles ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 for the studied amorphous samples.

Table.(2): Observed and Simulated RDF results of the studied samp	les:
---	------

Sample	r_1 (Å) obs	r_1 (Å) sim	N_1	$N_1(sim)$	σ_1 (Å) obs	$\sigma_1(\text{\AA}) \sin \theta$
a-Se	2.358	2.358	2.200	1.550	0.630	0.0099
a-SSe ₄₀	2.358	2.358	2.160	1.580	0.650	0.070
a-SSe ₃₀	2.368	2.350	2.140	1.550	0.635	0.065
a-SSe ₁₀	2.369	2.356	2.096	1.500	0.640	0.016

Table.2 Contin.

Sample	r_2 (Å) obs	r_2 (Å) sim	N ₂	$N_2(sim.)$	σ_2 (Å) obs	$\sigma_2(\text{\AA}) \sin$
a-Se	3.697	3.710	4.250	3.25	0.900	0.0999
a-SSe ₄₀	3.896	3.895	4.644	4.90	0.950	0.150
a-SSe ₃₀	3.865	3.860	4.192	4.45	0.930	0.150
a-SSe ₁₀	3.853	3.869	4.166	4.20	0.936	0.160

$$\sigma_t = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma_{sim}^2}$$

 σ_t is the total disordered parameter, both of σ_1 and σ_1 (sim.) in Å represents the observed(or thermal) and simulated disordering parameters.

Sample	$\Phi_1^{oa}(obs.)$	$\Phi_1^{\circ}(\text{sim.})$	$\Phi_2^{o}(obs.)$	$\Phi_2^{o}(sim.)$
a-Se	103.24	103.24	79.84	79.84
a-SSe ₄₀	111.41	104.15	77.72	81.37
a-SSe ₃₀	109.39	104.65	78.27	80.76
a-SSe ₁₀	108.82	104.87	79.55	82.19

Table (3): Estimated bond angles for the given amorphous four samples:

^a The angle in a chain, presumably between the two primary bonds.

3. Conclusion:

The micro-structural model can explain in a significant way the structure of the amorphous SSe_x samples in the (x=10-40) S% range given. Also, in pure Se and the sample SSe_{10} , the SRO is highly declared and the added S-atoms in the matrix will be interstitially arranged. In contradictory, the two samples SSe_{30} and SSe_{40} have a MRO of large declaration and the added S-atoms in the matrix are substituting the Se-atoms; causing a shortening in the Se-Se bond lengths and a decreasing in their coordination numbers. The obtained results are confirmed by both the study of crystallization kinetics and bond angles distribution for the given samples.

Acknowledgements:

I would like to acknowledge sincerely Prof. Dr. M.B. El Den for providing the amorphous samples $(a-SSe_x)$. Also, I am indebted to Prof. Dr. Z.K. Heiba for his valuable discussions. A lot of thanks to Prof. Dr. K.El-Sayed for the revision of the manuscript and for efficient fruitful discussions and continous encouragement.

References:

- 1. M.K. El-Mously, M.F. Kotkata and M.A. Salem, J. Phys. C11, 1077 (1978).
- 2. Jecu-Donia, Jaklovsky-Jeno, Trutia Atanasie, Apostol Ileana, Dinescu Maria, Michailescu Ion N, Aldica Gheorghe, Popescu Mihai, Vlahovici Nicolae, Zamfira Sorin and Indrea Emil, *J. Non-Crystalline Solids* **90**, 1-3 (1986).
- **3.** L.A. Wahab, M.B. El Den and M.F. Youssof, *Egypt. J. Solids* **23**, 71 (2000).
- 4. S.R. Ovshinsky, Phys. Rev. Letters 21, 1450 (1988).
- 5. L.A. Wahab, "Proceeding of the International Conference (Science & development & Environment)", Cairo, 215 (1997).

- 6. A.F. Maged, L.A. Wahab and I.A. El Kholy, *J. Materials Science* 33, 3331 (1998).
- 7. J. Schottmiller, M. Tabak, G. Lucovsky and A. Ward, *J. Non-Crystalline Solids* 4, 80 (1970).
- **8.** A.C. Wright, "Proceedings of the Egyptian 4th International School of Crystallography", Edited by K. El-Sayed and M. Radwan, Aswan, 564 (1993).
- 9. I.A. Aleksandrovich, Uch. Zap. Leningrad Gas Pedagog. Inst. 202, 231 (1966).
- **10.** N.M. Mott and E.A. Davis, "*Electronic Processes in Non-Crystalline Materials*", Clarendson Oxford, (1971).
- 11. M.B. Myers and E.J. Felty, Mater. Res. Bull. 2, 535 (1967).
- 12. Z.K. Heiba, M.B. El-Den and Karimat El-Sayed, *Powder Diffraction* 17(2),1 (2002).
- 13. V. Petkov, A. Apostolov and V. Skumryev, *J. Non-Crystalline Solids* 108, 75 (1989).
- 14. C. Pings and J. Waser, J. Chem. Phy. 48, 3016 (1968).
- 15. R. Kaplow, S. Strong and B. Averbach, Phys. Rev. A138, 1336 (1965).
- 16. A. Abou Zeid Mohamed, "*The Structure of Chalcogenide Glasses in the Ge-Sb-S System*", Ph. D. Thesis, Assiut University (1990).
- L. Cervinka, J. Komrska and J. Mikes, J. Non-Crystalline Solids 75, 69 (1985).
- 18. L. Cervinka, J. Non-Crystalline Solids 97, 98, 207 (1987).
- **19.** L. Cervinka, J. Non-Crystalline Solids **90**, 371 (1987).